## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

#### CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	SA CV 16-0794 CAS (JCG)	Date	January 25, 2018
Title	Robert S. Kahn v. City of Orange, et al.	_	

Present: The Honorable	onorable Jay C. Gandhi, United States Magistrate Judge					
Kristee Hopkins		None Appearing				
Deputy Clerk		Court Reporter / Recorder	Tape No.			
Attorneys Present for Plaintiff:		Attorneys Present for Defendants:				
None Appearing		None Appearing				
D 11 (T	P. ON CHAMPERS, ORDER TO CHOW CALLSE WHY A CTION CHOIL R					

Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED

The Court also notes that on July 17, 2017, Defendants served their Motion for Summary Judgment ("Motion") on Plaintiff. [Dkt. No. 12-7 at 2.] As of today's date, Plaintiff has not filed an opposition or a notice of non-opposition to the Motion. However, in the interests of justice, the Court extends Plaintiff's deadline to file an opposition or notice of non-opposition to the Motions on or before February 9, 2018. Plaintiff is cautioned that his failure to timely oppose the Motions may be deemed consent to the granting of the Motions.

The Court also notes that on September 21, 2017, a "Scheduling Notice," which was previously mailed to Plaintiff's address of record, was returned as undeliverable. [See Dkt. Nos. 14-15]. As of today's date, Plaintiff has not filed a Notice of Change of Address.

Pursuant to Local Rule 41-6, an action may be dismissed for Plaintiff's failure to notify the Court of his change of address. *See* C.D. Cal. L.R. 41-6; *see also Carey v. King*, 856 F.2d 1439, 1441 (9th Cir. 1988) ("A party, not the district court, bears the burden of keeping the court apprised of any changes in his mailing address.").

Accordingly, within **fifteen (15) days** of the date of this Order, Plaintiff is **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE**, in writing, why this action should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. If Plaintiff files a Notice of Change of Address, or opposition or notice of non-opposition to the Motion on or before **February 9, 2018**, he need not separately respond to this Order to Show Cause. Plaintiff is cautioned that his failure to timely file a response will be deemed by the Court as consent to the dismissal of this action without prejudice.

#### IT IS SO ORDERED.

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

### CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	SA CV 16-0794 CAS (JCG)	Date	January 25, 2018			
Title	Robert S. Kahn v. City of Orange, et al.					
cc: Parties	of Record		00		00	
			00	_ · _	00	_
	Initials of Clerk		kh			